

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,115	07/15/2003	David T. Jennings III	BRI/021	8635
7590 07/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas J. Brindisi, Esq. Suite B			CHAMBERS, TROY	
20 28th Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Venice, CA 9	0291		3641	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/620,115	JENNINGS, DAVID T.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Troy Chambers	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 March 2004.						
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-21 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,—	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-21 is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachme	nt(s)						
1)  Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
L C Patrat and	Trademark Office						

21、正确的函数的2000年的点。 WEST - - 2.5年 《3.3 选择》: 医基础系统

Application/Control Number: 10/620,115

Art Unit: 3641

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 3752081 issued to McKeown. (Col. 5, II. 25-40).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 12-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prinz in view of McKeown. Prinz discloses a pyrotechnic device as discussed in the previous office action. Prinz does not appear to include a constant current charging module as claimed by the applicant. McKeown discloses such a module (col. 5, II. 25-40) included in a blasting machine. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide the pyrotechnic device of Prinz with the constant current charging module of McKeown. The

[2] [2] · 《說: 出版: 出版: [2] · [2] · [4] · [

Application/Control Number: 10/620,115

Art Unit: 3641

Page 3

suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to charge a capacitor to 200 joules within 10 seconds and to 400 joules within 20 seconds.

- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prinz and McKeown and in further view of Jullian. Prinz is as discussed above and in the previous Office action. McKeown is discussed above. Jullian is as discussed in the previous Office action. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to employ the Jullian's method of employing a blasting galvanometer "logger", a blasting machine, and programmable electronic detonators, in order to achieve the desired effect of the capability of identyfing individual electronic detonators with a unique address, which would allow a blasting machine to arm and fire individual electronic detonators or a plurality of electronic detonators.
- 4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prinz and McKeown in further view of U.S. 4227461 issued to Beezley. Prinz and Mckeown have been discussed. However, neither reference appears to check or monitor capacitance. Beezley discloses such a check (claim 5). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide the combination of Prinz and McKeown with the monitor of Beezley. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to bleed the capacitor when necessary.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 12-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3641

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited on form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show similar
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-

Application/Control Number: 10/620,115

Art Unit: 3641

Page 5

4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Penm SPE 3641